

REMARKS

STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

In accordance with the foregoing, claims 1, 9 and 10 have been amended. Claim 3 has been cancelled. New claim 12 has been added. Claims 1, 2 and 4-12 are pending and under consideration.

No new matter is being presented, and approval and entry of the amended and new claims are respectfully requested.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §101

On page 2, item 3, of the Action, claim 9 is rejected as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 9 is amended herein to be directed to a tangible computer-readable recording medium, which constitutes patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. §101. Thus, the rejection is respectfully overcome. Approval of amended claim 9 is respectfully requested.

REJECTIONS OF CLAIMS 1-11 FOR OBVIOUSNESS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103(a) AS BEING UNPATENTABLE OVER FREIVALD ET AL. (U.S. PATENT NO. 5,898,836) IN VIEW OF SCHNEIDER (U.S. PATENT NO. 6,973,505)

The rejections of claims 1-11 are respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested.

Independent claim 1 is amended to incorporate the features recited in dependent claim 3, which is cancelled herein. Therefore, amended independent claim 1 recites that the URL generating means generates URL notation that includes a description to call a file name change function and/or storage folder change function.

On page 6 of the Action, the Examiner states that Schneider teaches that the URL generating means generates URL notation that includes description to call a file name change function and/or storage folder change function, citing column 1, line 63, to column 2, line 7.

However, Schneider merely discloses a normal or well-known URL, which corresponds to the "example A" or "example B" in Fig. 4 of the present application. Schneider does not disclose a URL which includes a description of full path information to the file registered in the file posting apparatus and a description to call a function which operates the file. In other words, Schneider cannot reach the file registered in the file posting apparatus, and cannot operate the file by the generated URL only.

In contrast, according to claim 1 of the present invention, the generated URL includes a description of full path information to the file registered in the file posting apparatus and a description to call a function which operates the file, as shown in "example C" of Fig. 4 of the present application. Thus, the present invention can reach the file registered in the file posting

apparatus, and can operate the file by the generated URL only.

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that Freivald et al. and Schneider, alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest the features described above and, thus, amended independent claim 1 patentably distinguishes over the prior art.

Similarly, independent claims 9 and 10 are amended herein to recite that URL notation is generated that includes a description to call a file name change function and/or storage folder change function. Thus, for at least the reasons provided above for independent claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that claims 9 and 10 also patentably distinguish over the prior art.

Dependent claims 2, 4-8 and 11 inherit the features of their respective base claim and, thus, it is respectfully submitted that claims 2, 4-8 and 11 also patentably distinguish over the prior art.

NEW INDEPENDENT CLAIM 12

New independent claim 12 recites:

generating URL notation including a description of full path information to the file registered in the file posting apparatus and a description to call a function for manipulating the file, wherein the generating generates URL notation that includes a description to call a file name change function and/or storage folder change function.

Therefore, for at least the reasons provided above for independent claims 1, 9 and 10, it is respectfully submitted that new claim 12 patentably distinguishes over the prior art.

CONCLUSION

In accordance with the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that all outstanding objections and rejections have been overcome and/or rendered moot. Further, all pending claims patentably distinguish over the prior art. There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: June 8, 2006

By: Michael P. Stanley
Michael P. Stanley
Registration No. 58,523

1201 New York Avenue, N.W., 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501